Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,237	TANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen - PTO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Tom Cunningham - App. Rep	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 August 2009</u>	Time: <u>11:00 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All of record		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Dr. Cunningham authorized the changes to claims 36 and 37.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	t	
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)